## **Consumer Evaluation**

Completed in 1998, this research-based evaluation was designed for use by members (consumers) enrolled in integrated care programs to evaluate quality. Evaluation areas, identified by both consumers and health and long term care providers, correspond to quality care/service areas outlined in the Model Quality Improvement Reviews (1997)

Barbara J. Bowers University Of Wisconsin-Madison School of Nursing

Sarah Esmond University Of Wisconsin-Madison School of Nursing

#### Introduction

The Wisconsin Partnership Program (WPP) research team has collaborated with staff in the Center for Delivery Systems Development (CDSD) in the development of a framework for quality assurance (QA) and quality improvement (QI) processes in integrated, consumercentered, long-term care programs serving frail elderly, physically disabled and chronically ill populations. The goal of the QA/QI framework was to establish processes that would promote and integrate consumer perspectives, guarantee minimum standards of care, promote increasing levels of excellence, and assure accountability of programs and subcontractors.

The framework for monitoring care and services provided by WPP organizations serving frail and vulnerable populations includes several internal and external quality review processes:

- The WPP Model QI Reviews developed in 1997 (internal)
- A WPP site guide, in development by the Academy for Quality in Community Care (external), and
- Quality indicators and outcomes measures, in development by the research team and CDSD (external)

A fourth component of the quality framework, the WPP Member Evaluation, has also been developed by the WPP quality research team. The WPP Member Evaluation is part of a second internal review process which Partnership sites can use to learn about the quality of the services they provide *from the perspective of their members*.

#### **Purpose of the WPP Member Evaluation**

The WPP Member Evaluation is based on data collected from Partnership members, other frail elderly, physically disabled, or chronically ill consumers, and health and long term care providers. It integrates those areas of care and aspects of service delivery that were identified by consumers and providers as important to quality. The Evaluation was developed to provide WPP members an opportunity to evaluate specific aspects of services that are provided by the Partnership Programs. It is designed to provide a means for Partnership members (consumers) to provide very specific feedback and information to Partnership providers and program staff.

The WPP Member Evaluation was <u>not</u> designed to collect data on global assessments of quality, or general levels of member satisfaction with a program. It is also <u>not</u> designed to measure clinical outcomes of care or the overall success or failure of a particular program toward reaching its goals. Tabulating responses or applying numeric values in attempt to measure responses is not useful or appropriate.

The WPP Member Evaluation is made up of a series of 1-3 page evaluations around specific aspect of care and services provided in the Partnership programs. Each section of the Evaluation includes a series of affirmative statements that the member will be asked to respond to. The statements are specific to particular issues identified by consumers and providers as related to quality. Each section of the evaluation (excluding the *General Questions for All Members*) was designed to provide an evaluation of one area of service delivery and corresponds to a particular Model QI Review topic. Responses from the items in these sections can be used to identify areas that the organization may want to look at more closely. The Model QI Review

can be used as a starting point for the 'closer look' when indicated by member responses to the evaluation (see below).

#### **Link to Model QI Review Outlines**

Each section of the WPP Evaluation corresponds to the same service areas covered by the Model QI Reviews developed in 1997. The Evaluation also includes an additional section:

General Questions for All Members. WPP Members should be asked to complete only those evaluation sections that are:

• Designed for use by all WPP members

(General Questions/Integration of Member and/or Caregiver Preferences/Monitoring Medication Profiles/Physical Environment & Access/Assistive Technologies)

- Appropriate to the specific services that they already receive
   (Transportation/Therapies/ Hospitalization/Post-Discharge/ Personal Care)
- Identified by the IDT for use as a screening tool
   (Depression, Constipation/Impaction, Falls, Urinary Incontinence)

Similar to the Model QI Reviews, the Member Evaluation sections are designed for use across Partnership populations (frail elderly or physically disabled). The Member Evaluation may be used with the Model QI Reviews in two ways:

1. Upon completion of a particular Model QI Review study, a WPP organization may wish to learn more about how member's perspectives compare to the organization's perspective about a particular service area. For example, several WPP sites have conducted studies about personal care services, how personal care programs are designed

and evaluated, and how information and knowledge that personal care staff have is identified and integrated into individual (member) service plans. Sites may choose to use the WPP Member Evaluation section on *Personal Care Services* in order to learn more about how members evaluate their personal care services, and to indicate particular aspects of personal care that work well, as well as those aspects that may need improvement, *according to members*.

2. If a site is unsure about how to select a QI area for study, they may use the WPP Member Evaluation in order to collect data from members about: their experiences in the Partnership Program, the role they have in care planning and decisionmaking about services, or their perspective about particular services provided by the program. The information collected will indicate study options for QI/A staff to focus on.

## **Recommendations for Administering the Evaluation**

1. The WPP Member Evaluation uses the term "Partnership staff" to refer to <u>any</u> person affiliated with the Partnership Program that provides care/services to members. This includes the member's doctor, any nursing staff (RN, NP, LPN, Home Care), personal care staff, and any program administrative staff that the member may have contact with. In order to focus the member's attention on the particular staff they interact with, the Evaluation may be reformatted by each WPP organization to reflect particular staff [i.e., instead of using "Partnership staff", item statements could use "nursing staff" or social work staff"]. Sections could also be administered to members along with instructions to focus on staff in a particular setting (i.e., please respond to the following items about staff

who work in your home, in the day center, in the clinic, etc.). Terminology will need to be reviewed and formatted by each Partnership program. For example, in ElderCare's WPP, enrollees are referred to as "member's" but in ElderCare's Options program they are referred to as "participants". Partnership sites may also use different terminology for personal care staff (Daily Living Assistants).

- 2. The WPP Evaluation Tool has multiple sections, each focusing on a specific aspect of service delivery. It is **not** designed to be administered all at one time. Each section of the Evaluation focuses on particular aspects of care and services, and each should be administered according to the priorities of the WPP organization. It is recommended that no more than 2 sections be completed by a member at any one time.
- 3. WPP Members should not be expected to complete sections of the evaluation without assistance this will vary across populations and across individuals, but assistance with understanding items and/or understanding the scope of questions should be available at the members discretion.
- 4. While all subjects may not need assistance completing the evaluation section/s, for those that do, there may be a tendency to have staff that work in a particular setting, and who are familiar to the member, administer the tool. However, since the tool may be formatted to evaluate services in that particular setting, this could contribute to a member's discomfort filling out the Evaluation sections (familiar staff assisting member evaluate services they (the staff) may provide), resulting in responses that are designed to please the provider administering the Evaluation. At the same time, it is recognized that having the evaluation administered by someone the member isn't familiar with could be

- problematic. The research team suggests that QA/I staff consider these issues carefully and find an appropriate balance.
- 5. Staff administering the Evaluation section/s need to be informed about the overall Evaluation, each section of the Evaluation, and the particular focus of the overall QI/A study being conducted by the site in order to be able to effectively assist members completing the evaluation.
- 6. Staff administering the Evaluation section/s, at the discretion of the member, should be instructed to take notes on any comments or difficulty the member has completing the Evaluation. Comments about an item (or about another aspect of the tool) should be considered <u>useful data</u>. The items on this evaluation were designed to provoke thinking and encourage comments by members.
- 7. Members should be informed that they are assisting WPP to improve their programs by evaluating particular aspects of the program to the best of their ability. WPP members should be assured that their participation in the evaluation is completely confidential, and that nothing they say will negatively effect the services they receive from the program.
- 8. The location in which the tool is administered (in which setting) could effect the responses received. For example, it is suggested that individuals completing a section of the evaluation about staff that work in their home should complete the survey in their home. Or, if an evaluation is to be done on particular staff in the day center, then the survey should be administered in the day center where the participant can reflect on who and what he/she is evaluating.

Wisconsin Partnership Program/Quality Research B. Bowers, University of Wisconsin-Madison, School of Nursing Member Evaluation, 1998

# **Evaluation Sections**

#### General Questions For All Members

	Please	circle	your	response	to	each	statement	bel	ow
--	--------	--------	------	----------	----	------	-----------	-----	----

1 10	case circle you	ui response to	cacii statcii	icit below	· •			
1.	Partnership	staff treat me	with respec	ct.				
	All staff	Some staff	Few Staff	No st	aff			
2.	In general, i staff.	if I have questi	ions, <u>I have</u>	an opport	<u>unity</u> to ask	my ques	tions to son	neone on
	Agree	Disagree	Not Sure					
3.	When I hav	e questions, I g	generally as	k them to	someone on	staff.		
	Always	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	N/A*			
	*Please expl	ain:						
4.		comfortable as Disagree N	s <b>king questi</b> Tot Sure	ons to Par	tnership sta	off.		
5.	I'm satisfied	l with the ansv	vers I am gi	iven in res	ponse to my	y question	ıs.	
	Always	Sometimes	Rarely No	ever				
6.		nership staff m hink they unde n my life.						
	Always	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	N/A*			
	*Please expl	ain:						

7.	If Partnership staff make a recommendation to me that is incompatible with the things I want to do in my life, I tell then that.
	Yes No** Not sure** N/A** (**go to #8)
7a.	I also tell them why their recommendation is problematic for me.
	Yes No Not sure N/A
8.	I think Partnership staff is well informed about my medical history.
	All staff Some staff Few staff No staff
	When I have to contact someone on the Partnership program  (mark all that apply)  There is a single person I contact.  There is a single phone number I call.  There are several people I might call, depending on the situation  I don't know who is best to contact  I haven't had to contact the WPP  I am satisfied with the response/s I get when I contact someone on the Partnership staff.  Always* (go to #12) Sometimes Rarely Never N/A**
	**please explain:
11.	I have been dissatisfied with a response by someone on the Partnership staff when:
	[continue on back of page if necessary]
12.	I want to participate in decisions about my care
	For everything For some things Not at all

13.	My o	vera	ll expe	rience	in the I	Partner	ship pr	rogram	has bee	en: (circle number)
	1 Poor		3	4	5	6	7	8	9 Excel	
14.	Ther abou		someth	ing I w	ould lik	ke that	I have	been re	luctant	to talk with Partnership staff
	Yes	No	)							
	Pleas	e des	scribe:_							

## Model QI Review: Identification and Integration of Member Preferences

#### FOR ALL MEMBERS

Please circle your response to each statement below:

1. I think Partnership staff understands what is most important to me.

	All staff	Some staff	Few staff	No staff
2.	I have an	opportunity to p	articipate in	decisions about the services I use.
	Always	Sometimes	Rarely	Never
3.	I choose to	o participate in d	ecisions abou	ut the services I use.
	Always	Sometimes	Rarely	Never
1.	I have sele	ected someone as	a proxy/deci	sion-maker.
	Yes	No* Not s	ure* *( <b>g</b> o	to #6)
5.	I understa	nd the proxy/dec	ision-maker'	s role.
	Yes	No Not sure		
5.	I know th	e goals that have	been establis	shed for me in my service plan.
	Agree	Disagree* (*go t	to #8) Not	sure
7.	The goals in my life	_	ave establish	ed for me reflect what is most important to me
	Agree	Disagree N	ot Sure	

8.		ppportunity to p de feedback to:	rovide feedba	ck to Partnership staff about the services I use.
	All staff	Some staff	Few staff	No staff
9.	I provide fe	eedback to Parti	nership staff a	bout the services I use.
	Yes N	No* Not su	ıre* (*go t	o # 11)
10.	. I am satisfic the services	•	Partnership s	taff respond to the feedback I give them about
	All staff	Some staff	Few staff	No staff
11.	. The Partne life	rship services I	receive interfe	re with other things that I want to do in my
	Agree I	Disagree No	ot sure	
12.	. I have chan	ged my place of	f residence sin	ce I enrolled in the Partnership program
	Yes No*	Not sure*	*(end of s	urvey)
13.	. The decisio	n to change resi	dence was my	choice
	Yes N	No Not sure		
14.	. I have the s	support I need to	o maintain my	residence and live there comfortably.
	Yes N	No Not sure		
Ot	her commen	its:		

## **Model QI Review: Monitoring Medication Profiles**

1.		someone on t -prescription)		-	bout all of the medic	eations (prescription
	Yes	No N	lot applicabl	e*		
	*(You do	not need to co	omplete this	form. Tha	nk you)	
2.		e on the Partn ications I am t	_	has discu	ssed with me the pos	sible side effects of
	Agree	Disagree	Not Sure			
3.	I unders	tand what the	medication	s I'm taki	ng are supposed to d	0.
	Agree	Disagree	Not Sure			
4.		am experienc y taking.	ing uncomf	ortable sid	le-effects from the m	nedications I'm
	Agree	Disagree*	Not Sure	*go t	0 6	
5.	I've disc	ussed these sid	le-effects w	ith someo	ne on the Partnership	staff.
	Yes	No Not a	applicable			
6.		ng all of the m		I've been s	given exactly the way	that Partnership
	Always	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	I'm not sure	
7.	I tell son prescribe		Partnership	staff whe	n I don't take my me	dications as
	Alwavs*	Sometim	es Rarely	v Neve	r *	

8.	If I don't take my medications as prescribed, it's usually because: (mark any that apply)	
	they cost too much	
	they cause other problems/have uncomfortable side effects for me. [Please explain:	
	I can't get them [Please explain:	
	I forget to take them	
	they (the medications) aren't effective/they don't seem to work	
	other (please describe):	
9.	If there were anything I would change about the Partnership program it would be:	
		_ _

## Model QI Review: Assistive Technologies\*

\*any equipment or assistive devices you use to more effectively communicate, move, pick things up, work, recreate, etc...

Agree	Disagree* Not Sure (*go to 3)
Some s that ap	sources of my information about assistive technology/ies include (check a oply):
_	Partnership staff Other professionals/please describe who:
<u> </u>	Other users of assistive technology/ies the Internet Other sources:
It's dif	ficult to get useful information about assistive technology/ies.
Agree	Disagree Not sure
I woul	d like to know more about assistive technology/ies that might be appropr
Agree	Disagree Not Sure
	talked to Partnership staff about how I can learn more about assistive logy/ies.
Yes*	No (*go to 7)
I have	n't talked to Partnership staff about assistive technology/ies information se:

8.		e assistive technology/ies that I'm intere een able to provide information to me a	
	Agree*	Disagree	
	*Please e	xplain:	
9.	I've rece	ved assistive technology/ies through th	e Partnership Program
	Yes	No* (*go to 14)	
10.	The assis	tive technology/ies that I received had tation	to be adapted from it's original
	Yes	No	
11.		tive technology/ies that I received throutations of what it would do for me.	igh the Partnership program met
	Complete	ly Somewhat* Not much*	Not at all*
	*I though	the equipment would:	
12.		tive technology/ies I received through I do before or has improved the way I do	•
	Agree*	Disagree Not Sure (*go to 14)	
13.		tive technology/ies aren't useful	
14.	I've had	assistive technology/ies repaired throug	gh the Partnership program
	Yes	No* (*Go to 19)	
15.		vait (time in hours, days, montarned to me.	ths) for my equipment to arrive or

16.		tnership program provided me with alternative equipment or options while for my repair to be completed.
	Yes	No
17.		tisfied with the quality of the alternative equipment or options that ship provided to me while I waited for my repair to be completed.
	Agree	Disagree
18.	I was sat	tisfied with the quality of the equipment or options I was given
	Agree	Disagree
19.	If there	were anything I would change about the Partnership program it would be:

#### Model QI Review: Transportation

The following statements refer to Partnership transportation systems used for Partnership services/appointment AND personal/social activities.

For each statement, please circle t	he lette	r that	corre	spond	s to 1	the following key:
	$\mathbf{A} =$	Alwa	ys			
	$\mathbf{M} =$	Most	of the	time		
	S =	Some	of the	e time		
	$\mathbf{R} =$	Rarel	y			
	<b>N</b> =	Neve	r			
1. The Partnership Program						
arranges transportation						
services at times that are						
convenient for me	A	M	S	R		N
The Partnership drivers are:						
2. Safe	A	M	S	R		N
3. Respectful	$\mathbf{A}$	M	$\mathbf{S}$	R		N
<b>l.</b> Able to assist me comfortably	$\mathbf{A}$	M	$\mathbf{S}$	R		N
5. Generally helpful	$\mathbf{A}$	M	$\mathbf{S}$	R		N
6. Friendly	A	M	S	R		N
Overall, the Partnership transport	tation s	ervices	s are:			
7. Adequate A	M	S		R	N	
8. Comfortable A	M	S		R	N	
P. Reliable A	$\mathbf{M}$	S		R	N	
10. Flexible (scheduling) A	$\mathbf{M}$	S		R	N	
11. Safe A	M	S		R	N	
12. Partnership transportation interfere with the things I v			_	-	ded i	in a way that does
Agree Disagree No	ot Sure					

14.	If there were anything I would change about the Partnership program it would be:

## **Model QI Review: Therapies**

1.		e on the Parti lable to me.	nership staff has explained the types of therapy services that
	Agree	Disagree*	Not Sure* (go to 3)
2.	Someone services.		nership staff has explained how I might benefit from these
	Agree*	Disagree	Not Applicable (*go to 4)
3.	I would	like to know	about the type of therapy services that are available to me.
	Agree*	Disagree	(*go to 5)
4.	Receivin	g therapy se	rvices isn't important to me.
	Agree	Disagree	Not Sure
5.	I've rece	eived therapy	services in the last 2 months.
	True	False*	Not Sure (*go to 14)
6.	I think t	he therapy se	ervices I received were beneficial for me:
	Agree	Disagree*	Not Sure*
	*I disagr	ee or have un	certainty because:
7.	The ther	rapy services	I've used were discontinued or decreased over time.
	True	False**	Not Sure (**Go to 15)
8.		sion to decre	ease or discontinue the therapy services I used was made
	Agree	Disagree	Not Sure

9.	I understan	d why the d	ecision to discontinue therapy was made
	Agree	Disagree	Not Sure
10.	I was able to	o discuss thi	s decision with Partnership staff.
	Agree	Disagree	Not Sure
11.	I am sat	isfied with t	he outcome of the decision.
	Agree	Disagree	Not Sure
12.	I'm satis	sfied about	the way the decision was made.
	Agree	Disagree*	Not Sure* *go to 13
13.	Please ex	xplain:	
14.	I haven'	t used Parti	nership therapy services since I enrolled in the Program
	True	False	Other (please explain):
15.	If there	were anythi	ng I would change about the Partnership program it would be:

## Model QI Review: Hospitalization and Post-discharge

## FOR USE WITH MEMBERS WHO HAVE BEEN HOSPITALIZED IN THE LAST MONTH

1.	The hospi	ital staff seeme	ed well in	formed abou	ıt my need	S	
	All of the	m Most of th	em S	Some of them	None	of them	Not Sure
2.	I saw my	Partnership to	eam men	nbers during	my hospit	alization	
	All of ther	m Most of th	em S	Some of them	None	of them	Not Sure
3.	When I w	as in the hosp	ital, I de	veloped some	e new prob	lems.	
	Agree*	Disagree	Not Sur	e			
	*The prob	lems I develop	ed were :				_
4.		vas discharged ore I was admi		_ ′	vas able to	care for m	yself as well as I
	Agree*	Disagree	Not Sur	e (*go to 6)			
5.	Services v	were arranged	to addre	ess the areas	I needed a	ssistance w	ith at discharge.
	Agree	Disagree	Not Sure	e			
6.		as discharged, iip service plar		tood what wa	as expected	l of me in t	erms of my
	Agree	Disagree*	Not Sur	e			
	*I didn't u	ınderstand:					
7.		oroxy participa arged:	ited in do	ecisions abou	t any new	services th	at I needed after I
	Agree	Disagree*	Not Sur	e Doesn't A	pply		
8.	_	rtant to me tha fter I am discl			_	decisions b	eing made about
	Always	Most of time	Som	netimes	Never	Don't kno	W

Wisconsin Partnership Program/Quality Research B. Bowers, University of Wisconsin-Madison, School of Nursing Member Evaluation, 1998

9.	If there were anything I would change about the Partnership program it would be:

## **Model QI Review: Depression**

Sadness		Increased Ir	ritability	Depression	
Sleep Di	fficulties	Loss of Inter	rest	Changes in Ap	petite
Feelings	of Hopeles	sness			
I've disc	cussed my	experiences wi	th someone	on the Partners	ship staff.
Yes	No**	(** go to 4)			
I've reco	eived treati	ment for my co	ondition:		
		ot Sure* *  I my experience		,	
I haven <sup>3</sup> because	t discussed:		ces with Part	nership staff	
I haven <sup>3</sup> because	t discussed:	I my experience	ces with Part	nership staff	
I haven because I unders	stand why	I'm not getting	g treatment (*go to 7)	nership staff	proved.

, ,	am involved in decis	ions that are made at	bout my trea	atment.
	All of the time	Some of the time	Rarely	Never
•	It's important to n	ne to be involved in d	lecisions abo	out my treatment.
	Agree Disagree	Not sure		
•	If there were anyth	ning I would change	about the P	artnership program it would be:

## Model QI Review: Constipation and Fecal Impaction

1.	in the las	st 2 months	s, r ve nad j	problems with consupation and/or fecal impaction.			
	Yes	No*	Not Sure				
	(*If you l	have not, y	ou do not n	eed to complete this form. Thank you)			
2.	I have di	scussed thi	s problem	with someone on the Partnership staff.			
	Yes*	No (*	go to 4)				
3.	I haven't apply):	discussed	this proble	m with Partnership staff because (check any that			
	I don'	t think ther	e is anythin	g that can be done about this			
	I thinl	k it will end	d on its own				
	It's no	ot importan	t to me to di	scuss this with anyone			
	It's to	o embarras	sing to discu	uss with someone			
	I'm tr	eating this	problem on	my own			
	I don'	I don't know who I should talk to about this					
4.	I have be Partners	_	ed with trea	tment for this problem by someone on the			
	Yes	No*	Not Sure	(*go to 6)			

	Disagree <sup>3</sup> e because:					
	e because:					
nderst	and why t	he decisio	on to not treat th	nis problem	was mad	de.
ree	Disagree	Not	Sure			
as incl	uded in di	scussions	about treatmen	nt for this p	roblem.	
ree	Disagree	Not	Sure			
ant to	be include	ed in deci	sions about trea	tments for t	this prob	lem.
/ays	Most of the	he time	Sometimes	Never		
	-	ave talked	l to me about ho	ow diet, acti	vity, and	medications rela
	No N	ot Sure				
		hat Partr	nership staff hav	ve done to p	orevent fu	iture occurrence
ree	Disagree <sup>3</sup>	k Not	Sure*			
vas not	t satisfied b	oecause:_				
	as incl eee ant to vays tnersh onstip satisfi stipati	as included in diese Disagree ant to be included and to be included any and a most of the theoretical and the constitution are any and a most included any any and a most included any	as included in discussions tee Disagree Not ant to be included in decivays Most of the time thership staff have talked tonstipation  No Not Sure satisfied with what Partistipation.  The discussion of the time thership staff have talked tonstipation of the time thership staff have talked tonstipation of the time thership staff have talked tonstipation.	as included in discussions about treatment tee Disagree Not Sure ant to be included in decisions about treat trays Most of the time Sometimes thership staff have talked to me about he constipation  No Not Sure satisfied with what Partnership staff have stipation.  The Disagree Not Sure*	as included in discussions about treatment for this process and to be included in decisions about treatments for the vays Most of the time Sometimes Never thership staff have talked to me about how diet, actionstipation  No Not Sure  satisfied with what Partnership staff have done to prostipation.  The Disagree* Not Sure*	as included in discussions about treatment for this problem.  ee Disagree Not Sure  ant to be included in decisions about treatments for this prob  yays Most of the time Sometimes Never  thership staff have talked to me about how diet, activity, and constipation  No Not Sure  satisfied with what Partnership staff have done to prevent fustipation.

#### Model QI Review: Physical Environment/Access to Services

If I need that is:	d assistance, Pa	rtnership stat	ff are able to assist me or transfer me in a way
Safe	Comfortable	Both*	Neither (*go to 6)
	there might be table for me	a better way	to transfer me – one that is either safer or more
Agree	Disagree*	Not Sure	(*go to 6)
I've dis	cussed this witl	n someone on	the Partnership staff.
Yes*	No (*go	to 5)	
I haven	't discussed thi	s with someo	ne on the Partnership staff because:
I'm sati	isfied with the v	way Partnersh	nip staff responded to my concerns
Agree	Disagree	Unsure	
I'm sati		knowledgeab	ele Partnership staff seem to be about my
Condition	<b></b>		
Agree	Disagree*	Unsure*	
Agree	Disagree*		

Pa a. b. c.	Then I go to Partnership program settings and/or ancillary settings affiliated with artnership, the following are available:						
a.	Clinics with acc	essible exam roon	ms				
	All of them	Some of them	Few of them	Not applicable			
b.	Clinics with	appropriate (con	nfortable) exam e	quipment (exam tables, e			
	All of them	Some of them	Few of them	Not applicable			
c.	_	n adequate space es to pass throug	•	ir and/or assistive			
	All of them	Some of them	Few of them	Not applicable			
d.	Reception staff who are comfortable interacting with me						
	All of them	Some of them	Few of them	Not applicable			
e.	Reception staff	who are familiar	with conditions li	ke mine			
	All of them	Some of them	Few of them	Not applicable			
f.	Adequate appoi	ntment times (ler	ngth of time)				
	All of them	Some of them	Few of them	Not applicable			
Ot	ther comments I l	nave:					

9.	-	I provide information to Partnership staff that I think would be useful for them to know about me.					
	Agree I	Disagree					
10.	I'm satisfie provide to t	•	Partnership s	staff respond to	or use the information th	at I	
	Most staff	Some staff	Few staff	No staff			
11.	If there we	re anything I w	ould change a	about the Parti	nership program it would	be:	

#### Model QI Review: Personal Care Services

#### FOR USE WITH MEMBERS WHO USE PERSONAL CARE SERVICES

Please circle your response to each statement below:

1.	I am comfortable	with the persona	l care workers wh	o come to my home.
----	------------------	------------------	-------------------	--------------------

All of them Some of them None of them

2. I think the personal care workers understand how to provide services the way I prefer.

All of them\* \*(**go to #4**) Some of them None of them

3. If I answered Some of them or None of them in #2 , I have discussed this with a Partnership personal care supervisor

Yes No

4. When I have a concern about personal care services, I know who to go to.

Yes - I know who to go to No - I don't know who to go to

5. I feel safe with my personal care workers

Agree Most of the time Some of the time Never

6. I enjoy my relationship with my personal care workers

Always Most of the time Some of the time Never

7. I generally have the same worker, or group of workers, in my home

Always Most of the time Some of the time Never

8. I participate in decisions about what personal care workers do for me.

Agree Disagree Unsure

9. I participate in decisions about how the services will be provided.

Always Most of the time Some of the time Never

10. I participate in decisions about which staff will provide personal care services.

Always Most of the time Some of the time Never

11. I want to participate in selecting the personal care staff who will work with me.

Always Most of the time Some of the time Never

12. Personal care services are scheduled in a way that is convenient for me.

Always Most of the time Some of the time Never

13. Personal care services are provided in a way that doesn't interfere with the things I want to do in my life.

Always Most of the time Some of the time Never

14. I discuss concerns I have about my condition with my personal care worker.

Always Some of the time Rarely Never

15. I discuss concerns I have about the services I receive with my personal care worker.

Always Some of the time Rarely Never

16. Partnership personal care services are reliable.					
Always Most of the time Some of the time Never					
17. Partnership personal care services are of high quality.					
Agree Disagree Unsure					
18. I have been satisfied with Partnership personal care services.					
Agree* *(end of survey) Disagree					
In particular, I have been dissatisfied with Partnership personal care services when:					
I have discussed this matter with someone on the Doutneyship stoff					
I have discussed this matter with someone on the Partnership staff.					
Yes No Unsure					
Other comments:					

#### Model QI Review: Falls

•	I've fallen at least once during the last 2 months.					
	Yes	No*	Don't remember *	·* (**g	to to 3)	
	*(You d	lo not ne	ed to complete this form	ı. Thank y	vou.)	
•	I've tall	ked to so	meone on the Partnersh	ip staff ab	out my fall/s	
	Yes	No*				
	*I haver	ı't talked	to anyone about this beca	iuse:		
	If I don about n		nber if I have fallen, I've	e talked to	someone on the Part	nership staff
	Yes	No	Not applicable			
	Partner	ship staf	f has talked to me abou	t some of t	he possible causes of	falls
	Yes	No	Not sure			
	A conce do.	ern about	falling sometimes prev	ents me fr	om doing the things t	hat I want to
	Most of	the time	Some of the time	Rarely	Never	
	Partner the futu	-	f has explained to me th	e ways I c	an reduce the chance	s of falling in
	Agree	Disag	ree Not sure			
	If there	were an	ything I would change a	bout the I	Partnership program	it would be:

## **Model QI Review: Urinary Incontinence**

1.	I've experienced uncontrollable urine lose in the last 2 months.				
	Agree Disagree* Not sure				
	(*You do not need to complete this form. Thank you)				
2.	I've talked to someone on the Partnership staff about my experiences.				
	Yes* No (*go to 5)				
3.	I haven't talked to anyone on the Partnership staff about this because (check any that apply:				
	I don't think there is anything that can be done about it				
	I think it will resolve itself on its own				
	It's not important to me to discuss it with someone				
	It's too embarrassing to discuss with someone				
	I'm treating this problem on my own				
	I don't know who I should talk to about this				
	other/please explain:				
<b>4.</b> ]	I would like to talk to someone about this problem.				
	Agree* Disagree* Not Sure* (*GO TO 6)				
5.	I'm satisfied with the way Partnership staff responded to my concerns about this problem.				
	Agree Disagree*				
	I was dissatisfied about:				
6.	Partnership staff have provided me with information about possible causes of this problem				
	Agree Disagree Not sure				

Partnership staff have talked to me about how to prevent, treat, or manage this problem				
Agree	Disagree*	Not sure* (*go to 9)		
The treatment or management plans allow me to do the things that are most important to me				
Agree	Disagree	Not sure		
If there	were anything	I would change about the Partnership program it would be:		
		Two did change about the Furthership program it would		